Less Time Planning.
More Time Executing.

How AI is compressing strategy development from months to days — so your team spends time doing, not planning.

4–6 Months	traditional strategy development cycle
3–4 Weeks	with structured tools + AI preparation
80%	of CEO time should be on execution, not planning

The Planning Trap

Here is an uncomfortable truth most consulting firms will never tell you: the strategy development process at most companies is grotesquely inefficient. Not because the people are inefficient. Because the process is.

A typical mid-market company spends 4–6 months developing a strategic plan. Internal teams spend weeks assembling market data — often incomplete, often stale by the time it reaches the leadership team. Consultants conduct interviews, build decks, present findings. The leadership team debates in multi-day offsites. The output: a document that is already outdated before the ink dries.

Worse, the planning cycle consumes the very leadership attention that execution demands. Your best executives are locked in planning meetings instead of in the market, with customers, making things happen. The paradox: the more time you spend perfecting the plan, the less time you have to execute it.

The Old Way vs. The New Way

PHASE	TRADITIONAL	AI-ACCELERATED
Market & competitive research	**6–8 weeks**	**3–5 days**
Assumption validation	**3–4 weeks**	**2–3 days**
Scenario modeling	**2–3 weeks**	**1–2 days**
Leadership facilitation	**3–5 days offsite**	**2–3 focused sessions**
Quarterly recalibration	**Rarely happens**	**2 days every 90 days**
TOTAL CYCLE	**4–6 months**	**3–4 weeks**

What Changes — and What Does Not

Let us be clear about what AI compresses and what it does not. AI compresses the research, data gathering, assumption validation, and scenario modeling that consume the majority of the planning cycle. This is where months of elapsed time live — and where AI delivers the most dramatic acceleration.

What AI does not compress is the leadership dialogue. The facilitated debate where executives surface disagreements, make trade-offs, and commit to choices. That takes the same focused time it always has. The difference is that your team walks into that room with dramatically better information, pre-validated assumptions, and scenario analyses already built. They spend their time deciding, not discovering.

CASE IN POINT: $1.1B European Building Products Company

Situation: The company had been running 5-month annual planning cycles for a decade. Each cycle consumed hundreds of executive hours in data collection, market analysis, and deck preparation. By the time the leadership team convened, the data was 3 months old and the conversation started with "let me walk you through the findings" instead of "here are the decisions we need to make."

Approach: We restructured the entire approach. AI pre-populated the strategic assumption framework with current market intelligence. Competitive positioning was benchmarked in days. Product-market prioritization data was prepared before the first session. The leadership team spent three intensive sessions over two weeks making decisions — not reviewing background decks.

Result: Strategic plan completed in 3 weeks vs. 5 months. First quarterly review conducted 90 days later with AI-refreshed market data. The CFO estimated the company recaptured approximately 1,200 executive hours annually. More importantly, a market entry decision that would have waited for the next annual cycle was made and executed within the quarter — capturing a window that closed 4 months later.

The Execution Dividend

When you compress strategy development from months to weeks, something remarkable happens: your leadership team gets time back. Not a little time. Months of time. And that time goes directly into execution — into being in the market, with customers, managing capability builds, driving the projects that move metrics.

The companies we work with that have made this shift report a qualitative change in how their organizations feel. Less planning fatigue. More momentum. Faster course corrections. And a leadership team that is present in the business, not locked in conference rooms debating data that was stale before the meeting started.

The Quarterly Adjustment Advantage

Perhaps the most powerful outcome of compressed planning is that it makes quarterly strategic adjustment practical. When your planning cycle is 5 months, you cannot afford to revisit strategy quarterly — you would never stop planning. When your preparation cycle is days, quarterly reviews become not only feasible but natural.

Every 90 days: refresh assumptions with current data. Validate that product-market priorities still hold. Check capability builds against milestones. Adjust project portfolios. Root-cause any metric misses. Set next-quarter actions. Two days. Done. Back to execution.

The goal was never a better strategic plan. The goal is better strategic decisions, made continuously, with the majority of leadership time spent on execution.

YOUR NEXT MOVE

Ask yourself and your leadership team these questions this week:

- **How many executive hours does your current planning cycle consume annually? Is that a good use of your best people?**
- **If you could complete your next strategic review in 3 weeks, what would your team do with the time you get back?**
- **Are you adjusting strategy quarterly — or discovering problems in December that were visible in March?**

Start the Conversation

Thinking Dimensions Global works with CEOs of $300M–$4B companies to build strategies that connect to P&L outcomes. We facilitate decisions and help leadership teams own execution across four continents.

Schedule a call: tlewko@thinkingdimensions.ca

Visit: thinkingdimensions.com

Read the book: MOVE: AI-Powered Strategy for a Fast World (Routledge, 2025)

Vancouver • London • Milan • Singapore • Mumbai • Sydney